

Saw Palmetto Extracts for Treatment of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

A Systematic Review

Timothy J. Wilt, MD, MPH; Areef Ishani, MD; Gerold Stark, MD; Roderick MacDonald, MS; Joseph Lau, MD; Cynthia Mulrow, MD, MS

JAMA. 1998; 280: 1604-1609.

Objective.— To conduct a systematic review and, where possible, quantitative meta-analysis of the existing evidence regarding the therapeutic efficacy and safety of the **saw palmetto** plant extract, *Serenoa repens*, in men with symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH).

Data Sources.— Studies were identified through the search of MEDLINE (1966-1997), EMBASE, Phytodok, the Cochrane Library, bibliographies of identified trials and review articles, and contact with relevant authors and drug companies.

Study Selection.— Randomized trials were included if participants had symptomatic BPH, the intervention was a preparation of *S repens* alone or in combination with other phytotherapeutic agents, a control group received placebo or other pharmacological therapies for BPH, and the treatment duration was at least 30 days.

Data Extraction.— Two investigators for each article (T.J.W., A.I., G.S., and R.M.) independently extracted key data on design features, subject characteristics, therapy allocation, and outcomes of the studies.

Data Synthesis.— A total of 18 randomized controlled trials involving 2939 men met inclusion criteria and were analyzed. Many studies did not report results in a method that permitted metaanalysis. Treatment allocation concealment was adequate in 9 studies; 16 were double-blinded. The mean study duration was 9 weeks (range, 4-48 weeks). As compared with men receiving placebo, men treated with S repens had decreased urinary tract symptom scores (weighted mean difference [WMD], -1.41 points [scale range, 0-19] [95% confidence interval (CI), -2.52 to -0.30] [n=1 study]), nocturia (WMD, -0.76 times per evening [95% CI, -1.22 to -0.32] [n=10 studies]), and improvement in self-rating of urinary tract symptoms; risk ratio for improvement (1.72 [95% CI, 1.21-2.44] [n=6 studies]), and peak urine flow (WMD, 1.93 mL/s [95% CI, 0.72-3.14] [n=8 studies]). Compared with men receiving finasteride, men treated with S repens had similar improvements in urinary tract symptom scores (WMD, 0.37 International Prostate Symptom Score points [scale range, 0-35] [95% CI, -0.45 to 1.19] [n=2 studies]) and peak urine flow (WMD, -0.74 mL/s [95% CI, -1.66 to 0.18] [n=2 studies]). Adverse effects due to S repens were mild and infrequent; erectile dysfunction was more frequent with finasteride (4.9%) than with S repens (1.1%; P < .001). Withdrawal rates in men assigned to placebo, S repens, or finasteride were 7%, 9%, and 11%, respectively.

Conclusions.— The existing literature on *S repens* for treatment of BPH is limited in terms of the short duration of studies and variability in study design, use of phytotherapeutic preparations, and reports of outcomes. However, the evidence suggests that *S repens* improves urologic symptoms and flow measures. Compared with finasteride, *S repens* produces similar improvement in urinary tract symptoms and urinary flow and was associated with fewer adverse treatment events. Further research is needed using standardized preparations of *S repens* to determine its long-term effectiveness and ability to prevent BPH complications.

From the Department of Veterans Affairs Coordinating Center of the Cochrane Collaborative Review Group in Prostatic Diseases and Urologic Malignancies and Minneapolis/Veterans Integrated Service Network 13 Center for Chronic Diseases Outcomes Research, Minneapolis Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Minneapolis, Minn (Drs Wilt, Ishani, and Stark and Mr MacDonald); New England Medical Center, Boston, Mass (Dr Lau); and the Department of Veterans Affairs San Antonio Cochrane Center, San Antonio, Tex (Dr Mulrow).

THIS ARTICLE HAS BEEN CITED BY OTHER ARTICLES

Proven and Unproven Therapy for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

DiPaola and Morton *NEJM* 2006; 354: 632-634.

FULL TEXT

Access To Conventional Medical Care And The Use Of Complementary And Alternative Medicine

Pagan and Pauly
Health Aff (Millwood) 2005; 24: 255-262.
ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Phytochemical Assays of Commercial Botanical Dietary Supplements

Krochmal et al.

Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2004; 1:305-313.

ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Current Management of Urinary Incontinence

Knight-Klimas

Journal of Pharmacy Practice 2004; 17: 103-114.

ABSTRACT

Internet Marketing of Herbal Products

Morris and Avorn *JAMA* 2003; 290: 1505-1509. ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Advising Patients Who Seek Complementary and Alternative Medical Therapies for Cancer

Weiger et al.

ANN INTERN MED 2002; 137:889-903.

ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Tomatoes, Lycopene, and Prostate Cancer: Progress and Promise

Hadley et al.

Exp. Biol. Med. 2002; 227: 869-880.

ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Potential Physician Malpractice Liability Associated with Complementary and Integrative Medical Therapies

Cohen and Eisenberg

ANN INTERN MED 2002; 136: 596-603.

ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Dietary Supplement Use: Consumer Characteristics and Interests

Greger

J. Nutr. 2001; 131: 1339S-1343. ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Research into complementary and alternative medicine: problems and potential

Nahin and Straus

BMJ 2001; 322: 161-164.

FULL TEXT

Herbal medicines: where is the evidence?

Frnst

BMJ 2000; 321: 395-396.

FULL TEXT

Immediate Hormonal Therapy Compared with Observation after Radical Prostatectomy and Pelvic Lymphadenectomy in Men with Node-Positive Prostate Cancer

Messing et al.

NEJM 1999; 341: 1781-1788.

ABSTRACT | FULL TEXT

Saw Palmetto for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

McKinney

JAMA 1999; 281: 1699-1699.

FULL TEXT

Urology

Litwin

JAMA 1999; 281: 495-496.

FULL TEXT

Alternative Medicine Meets Science

Fontanarosa and Lundberg *JAMA* 1998; 280: 1618-1619.

FULL TEXT